

## **PREPRINT 2003–05**

Smoothing properties and approximation of time derivatives in multistep backward difference methods for linear parabolic equations

Yubin Yan



# CHALMERS FINITE ELEMENT CENTER

Preprint 2003-05

# Smoothing properties and approximation of time derivatives in multistep backward difference methods for linear parabolic equations

Yubin Yan



# **CHALMERS**

Chalmers Finite Element Center Chalmers University of Technology SE–412 96 Göteborg Sweden Göteborg, March 2003 Smoothing properties and approximation of time derivatives in multistep backward difference methods for linear parabolic equations

Yubin Yan NO 2003-05 ISSN 1404-4382

Chalmers Finite Element Center Chalmers University of Technology SE–412 96 Göteborg Sweden Telephone: +46 (0)31 772 1000

Fax: +46 (0)31 772 3595 www.phi.chalmers.se

Printed in Sweden Chalmers University of Technology Göteborg, Sweden 2003

# SMOOTHING PROPERTIES AND APPROXIMATION OF TIME DERIVATIVES IN MULTISTEP BACKWARD DIFFERENCE METHODS FOR LINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

#### YUBIN YAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider smoothing properties and time derivative approximation in multistep backward difference methods for nonhomogeneous parabolic equations. Smoothing properties and time derivative approximations in single step methods for homogeneous parabolic equations have been studied in Hansbo [5], Yan [12], [13]. We extend the similar results in Yan [12] to the multistep backward difference methods.

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper we shall consider the smoothing properties and the approximation of time derivatives in multistep backward difference methods for the following nonhomogeneous linear parabolic equation

(1.1) 
$$u_t + Au = f$$
, for  $t > 0$ , with  $u(0) = v$ ,

in a Hilbert space H with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , where  $u_t = du/dt$  and A is a linear, selfadjoint, positive definite, not necessarily bounded operator with a compact inverse, densely defined in  $\mathcal{D}(A) \subset H$ , where  $v \in H$  and f is a function of t with values in H.

The theory of stability and error estimates for the approximation of the solution of (1.1) by a multistep method have been well developed, see Becker [1], Bramble, Pasciak, Sammon, and Thomée [2], Crouzeix [3], Hansbo [6], LeRoux [7], [8], Palencia and Garcia-Archilla [9], Savaré [10], Thomée [11], and the references there in. The smoothing properties and the approximation of time derivatives in single step methods for homogeneous parabolic problems have been studied by Hansbo [5], [6], Yan [12], [13].

This paper is related to Yan [12]. Let us first recall the main results in Yan [12]. Consider (1.1) with f = 0, i.e.,

(1.2) 
$$u_t + Au = 0 \text{ for } t > 0, \text{ with } u(0) = v.$$

Let  $U^n, n \ge 1$ , be an approximation of the solution  $u(t_n)$  of (1.2) at time  $t_n = nk$ , where k is the time step, defined by a single step method,

(1.3) 
$$U^n = r(kA)U^{n-1}$$
, for  $n \ge 1$ , with  $U^0 = v$ ,

Date: March 28, 2003.

Key words and phrases. parabolic equations, time derivative, multistep difference method, error estimates

Yubin Yan, Department of Mathematics, Chalmers University of Technology, SE–412 96 Göteborg, Sweden email: yubin@math.chalmers.se .

where the rational function  $r(\lambda)$  is accurate of order  $p \geq 1$ , i.e.,

(1.4) 
$$r(\lambda) - e^{-\lambda} = O(\lambda^{p+1}), \quad \text{as } \lambda \to 0.$$

Let  $j \geq 1$ . Define the following finite difference quotient, with some nonnegative integers  $m_1, m_2$  and real numbers  $c_{\nu}$ ,

(1.5) 
$$Q_k^j U^n = \frac{1}{k^j} \sum_{\nu = -m_1}^{m_2} c_{\nu} U^{n+\nu}, \quad \text{for } n \ge m_1.$$

Assume that  $Q_k^j$  is an approximation of order  $p \geq 1$  to the time derivative  $D_t^j$ , that is, for any smooth real-valued function u,

(1.6) 
$$D_t^j u(t_n) = Q_k^j u^n + O(k^p), \quad \text{as } k \to 0, \quad \text{with } u^n = u(t_n).$$

We then have the following smooth data error estimates

Further, if  $|r(\infty)| < 1$ , then we have the following smoothing properties

(1.8) 
$$||Q_k^j U^n|| \le C t_n^{-j} ||v||, \quad \text{for } n \ge m_1, \ t_n > 0, \ v \in H,$$

and nonsmooth data error estimates

$$(1.9) ||Q_k^j U^n - D_t^j u(t_n)|| \le C k^p t_n^{-(p+j)} ||v||, \text{for } n \ge m_1, \ t_n > 0, \ v \in H.$$

The purpose of this paper is to extend the above results for homogeneous parabolic equation, which is approximated by a single step method, to the nonhomogeneous parabolic equation, which will be approximated by a multistep backward difference method.

We introduce the backward difference operator  $\bar{\partial}_p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , by

(1.10) 
$$\bar{\partial}_p U^n = \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{k^{j-1}}{j} \bar{\partial}^j U^n$$
, where  $\bar{\partial} U^n = (U^n - U^{n-1})/k$ .

With  $U^0, \dots, U^{p-1}$  given, we define our approximate solution  $U^n$  by

(1.11) 
$$\bar{\partial}_p U^n + AU^n = f^n$$
, for  $n \ge p$ , where  $f^n = f(t_n)$ .

It is well known from the theory for numerical solution of ordinary differential equations, see, e.g., Hairer and Wanner [4], that this method is  $A(\theta)$ -stable for some  $\theta = \theta_p > 0$  when  $p \leq 6$ . The error estimates for such method has been studied in Bramble, Pasciak, Sammon, and Thomée [2]. It is easy to see that, for any smooth real-valued function u, see Thomée [11, Chapter 10],

(1.12) 
$$u_t(t_n) = \bar{\partial}_p u^n + O(k^p), \quad \text{as } k \to 0, \quad \text{with } u^n = u(t_n).$$

In Theorem 2.1 below, we obtain the following smoothing property: if  $U^n$  is the solution of (1.11) with f = 0, then we have, with  $p \leq 6$ ,

$$\|\bar{\partial}_p U^n\| \le Ct_n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \|U^j\|, \text{ for } n \ge 2p.$$

It is natural to approximate the time derivative  $u_t(t_n)$  of the solution of (1.1) by  $\bar{\partial}_p U^n$   $(n \geq 2p)$ , where  $U^n$ ,  $n \geq p$ , is computed by the multistep backward difference method (1.11). In Theorems 3.1 and 3.4, we obtain the following error estimates

$$\|\bar{\partial}_p U^n - u_t(t_n)\| \le C \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \|A(U^j - u^j)\| + Ck^p \int_0^{t_n} \|Au^{(p+1)}(s)\| ds$$
, for  $n \ge 2p$ ,

and, with  $G(s) = |u^{(p+1)}(s)|_{-2p-1}^2 + s^{2p+2}|u^{(p+1)}(s)|_1^2 + s^2|u_t(s)|_1^2$ ,

$$|t_n^{2p+2}\|\bar{\partial}_p U^n - u_t(t_n)\|^2 \le C \sum_{j=p}^{2p-1} \left( |U^j - u^j|_{-2p}^2 + k^{2p+2} \|A(U^j - u^j)\|^2 \right) + Ck^{2p} \left( \int_0^{t_n} G(s) \, ds + t_{2p}^3 |u_t(t_{2p})|_1^2 \right),$$

respectively.

When we choose some suitable discrete starting values  $U^0, U^1, \dots, U^{p-1}$ , we get the following nonsmooth data error estimates, with f = 0 and  $p \leq 6$ ,

$$\|\bar{\partial}_p U^n - u_t(t_n)\| \le Ck^p t_n^{-p-1} \|v\|, \quad \text{for } n \ge 2p.$$

By C and c we denote large and small positive constants independent of the functions and parameters concerned, but not necessarily the same at different occurrences. When necessary for clarity we distinguish constants by subscripts.

#### 2. Smoothing properties

In this section we will show the smoothing properties for the multistep backward difference method. Before showing this, we first discuss some properties of the backward difference operator  $\bar{\partial}_p$  defined by (1.10). We first note that (1.10) can be written in another form, see, e.g., Yan [12],

(2.1) 
$$\bar{\partial}_p U^n = k^{-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^p c_{\nu} U^{n-\nu},$$

where the coefficients  $c_{\nu}$  are independent of k. Introducing  $P(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{p} c_{\nu} x^{\nu}$ , it is easy to check that (1.12) is equivalent to

(2.2) 
$$P(e^{-\lambda}) - \lambda = O(\lambda^{p+1}), \quad \text{as } \lambda \to 0.$$

In fact, with  $u(t) = e^t$  in (1.12), we have

$$P(e^{-k}) - k = O(k^{p+1}), \text{ as } k \to 0,$$

replacing k by  $\lambda$ , we show (2.2). On the other hand, if (2.2) holds, (1.12) follows from Taylor expansion of  $\bar{\partial}_p u^n$  at  $t_n$ .

For p = 1, (1.11) reduces to the backward Euler method

$$(U^n - U^{n-1})/k + AU^n = f^n, \quad \text{for } n \ge 1,$$

and the starting value is  $U^0 = v$ .

For p=2, we have

4

$$(\frac{3}{2}U^n - 2U^{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}U^{n-2})/k + AU^n = f^n$$
, for  $n \ge 2$ ,

and both  $U^0$  and  $U^1$  are needed to start the procedure.

Bramble, Pasciak, Sammon, and Thomée [2] obtain the following stability result, i.e., with  $U^n$  the solution of (1.11),

(2.3) 
$$||U^n|| \le C \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} ||U^j|| + Ck \sum_{j=p}^n ||f^j||, \quad \text{for } n \ge p.$$

In this paper we first show the following smoothing property for the multistep backward difference method.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $p \leq 6$ . Then there is a constant C, independent of the positive definite operator A, such that for the solution  $U^n$  of (1.11) with f = 0,

(2.4) 
$$\|\bar{\partial}_p U^n\| \le Ct_n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \|U^j\|, \quad \text{for } n \ge 2p.$$

To prove this theorem, we need the following lemma from Thomée [11, Lemma 10.3]. **Lemma 2.2.** The solution of (1.11) may be written, with  $g^j = kf^j = kf(t_i)$ ,

(2.5) 
$$U^{n} = \sum_{j=n}^{n} \beta_{n-j}(kA)g^{j} + \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \beta_{ns}(kA)U^{s}, \quad \text{for } n \ge p,$$

where the  $\beta_j(\lambda)$  and  $\beta_{ns}(\lambda)$  are defined by, with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $P(\zeta) = \sum_{\nu=0}^p c_{\nu} \zeta^{\nu}$ ,

(2.6) 
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_j(\lambda) \zeta^j := (P(\zeta) + \lambda)^{-1}, \qquad \beta_{ns}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=p-s}^p \beta_{n-s-j}(\lambda) c_j.$$

If  $p \leq 6$ , there are positive constants c, C and  $\lambda_0$  such that

(2.7) 
$$|\beta_j(\lambda)| \leq \begin{cases} Ce^{-cj\lambda}, & \text{for } 0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0, \\ C\lambda^{-1}e^{-cj}, & \text{for } \lambda \geq \lambda_0. \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By (2.5) and (2.1), we find that

$$\bar{\partial}_p U^n = k^{-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^p c_\nu \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \beta_{(n-\nu)s}(kA) U^s \equiv \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \beta'_{ns}(kA) U^s,$$

where obviously we require that  $n - \nu \ge p$   $(0 \le \nu \le p)$  which implies  $n \ge 2p$ , and where  $\beta'_{ns}(\lambda)$  are some functions of  $\lambda$ . Since  $\bar{\partial}_p U^n$  is linearly dependent on  $U^s$   $(0 \le s \le p - 1)$ , it suffices to consider separately the cases when all terms but one on the right of (2.4) vanish.

We consider the case when  $U^l \neq 0$ ,  $0 \leq l \leq p-1$  and  $U^s = 0$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq p-1$ ,  $s \neq l$ . In the case  $0 < l \leq p-1$ , we need to show

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\bar{\partial}_{p}U^{n} = k^{-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{p} c_{\nu} \left( \beta_{(n-\nu)l} U^{l} \right) = k^{-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{p} c_{\nu} \left( \sum_{j=p-l}^{p} \beta_{n-\nu-l-j}(kA) c_{j} \right) U^{l}$$

$$= k^{-1} \sum_{j=p-l}^{p} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{p} c_{\nu} \beta_{n-\nu-l-j}(kA) \right) c_{j} U^{l}, \quad \text{for } 0 \le l \le p-1.$$

We also note that

(2.9) 
$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{p} c_{\nu} \beta_{n-\nu-s}(\lambda) = -\lambda \beta_{n-s}(\lambda), \quad \text{for } p < s \le n, \ n-\nu-s \ge 0.$$

In fact, if n-s < p, (2.9) follows from comparing the coefficients of  $\zeta^{\bar{s}}$  of (2.6) for  $0 \le \bar{s} \le p$ . If  $n-s \ge p$ , by comparing the coefficients of  $\zeta^{\bar{s}}$  of (2.6) for  $\bar{s} \ge p$ , we get

$$(c_0 + \lambda)\beta_{\bar{s}} + \dots + c_p\beta_{\bar{s}-p} = 0.$$

Replacing  $\bar{s}$  by n-s  $(n \geq 2p, n-s \geq p)$ , we get (2.9).

Thus (2.8) follows from

$$\left| n\lambda \sum_{j=p-l}^{p} \beta_{n-l-j}(\lambda) \right| \le C, \text{ for } 0 < l \le p-1,$$

which follows from, for fixed l,  $0 < l \le p - 1$ ,

$$\left| n\lambda \sum_{j=p-l}^{p} \beta_{n-l-j}(\lambda) \right| \le C \sum_{j=p-l}^{p} n\lambda e^{-c(n-l-j)\lambda} \le C, \quad \text{for } 0 \le \lambda \le \lambda_0,$$

and

$$\left| n\lambda \sum_{j=p-l}^{p} \beta_{n-l-j}(\lambda) \right| \le C \sum_{j=p-l}^{p} ne^{-c(n-l-j)} \le C, \text{ for } \lambda \ge \lambda_0.$$

We now consider the case l = 0, we have, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\bar{\partial}_p U^n = k^{-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^p c_{\nu} (\beta_{(n-\nu)0} U^0) = k^{-1} \Big( \sum_{\nu=0}^p c_{\nu} \beta_{n-\nu-p} (kA) \Big) c_p U^0.$$

We will show

(2.10) 
$$\frac{n}{s} \Big| \sum_{\nu=0}^{p} c_{\nu} \beta_{n-\nu-s}(\lambda) \Big| \le C, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \sigma(kA), \ n \ge 2p.$$

Assuming this in the moment, by spectral representation, the desired estimate  $\|\bar{\partial}_p U^n\| \le Ct_n^{-1}\|U^0\|$  follows.

It remains to prove (2.10). In fact, since (2.9), it suffices to show,

(2.11) 
$$\frac{n}{s} |\lambda \beta_{n-s}(\lambda)| \le C, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \sigma(kA), \quad n \ge 2p, \quad p < s \le n,$$

which we will now prove. For small  $\lambda < \lambda_0$ , we have, by (2.7),

$$\frac{n}{s}|\lambda\beta_{n-s}(\lambda)| \le (n\lambda e^{-cn\lambda})(s^{-1}e^{cs\lambda}) \le (n\lambda e^{-cn\lambda})\max\{p^{-1}e^{cp\lambda}, n^{-1}e^{cn\lambda}\} \le C.$$

For  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ , using again (2.7), we have,

6

$$\frac{n}{s}|\lambda\beta_{n-s}(\lambda)| \le C(ne^{-cn})(s^{-1}e^{cs}) \le C,$$

which completes the proof of (2.11). Together these estimates complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

#### 3. Error estimates

In this section, we will show the error estimates for the approximation  $\bar{\partial}_p U^n$  of the time derivative  $u_t(t_n)$  in both smooth and nonsmooth data cases. Recall that the error estimate for the approximation  $U^n$  of  $u(t_n)$  in the smooth data case reads, see Thomée [11, Theorem 10.1],

(3.1) 
$$||U^n - u^n|| \le C \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} ||U^j - u^j|| + Ck^p \int_0^{t_n} ||u^{(p+1)}(s)|| \, ds, \quad \text{for } n \ge p.$$

Applying (3.1), we can easily prove the following smooth data error estimate for the time derivative approximation.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $p \leq 6$ . Then there is a constant C, independent of the positive definite operator A, such that

$$(3.2) \|\bar{\partial}_p U^n - u_t(t_n)\| \le C \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \|A(U^i - u^i)\| + Ck^p \int_0^{t_n} \|Au^{(p+1)}(s)\| \, ds, \quad \text{for } n \ge 2p.$$

*Proof.* By (1.11) and (1.1), we have

$$\|\bar{\partial}_p U^n - u_t(t_n)\| = \|A(U^n - u(t_n))\|$$

Applying (3.1) with norm  $||A \cdot ||$ , we obtain (3.2). The proof is complete.

We now turn to nonsmooth data error estimate. Below we will use the norm  $|v|_s = (A^s v, v)^{1/2}$ ,  $s \in \mathbf{R}$ , defined by

$$|v|_s^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \mu_l^s(v, \varphi_l)^2 < \infty, \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbf{R},$$

where  $\{\mu_l, \varphi_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$  is the eigensystem of the operator A.

We first recall the following stability result, see Thomée [11, Theorem 10.4].

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $p \le 6$  and  $s \ge 0$ , and let  $U^n$  be the solution of (1.11). Then we have, with C independent of the positive definite operator A,

$$\begin{aligned} t_n^s \|U^n\|^2 + k \sum_{j=p}^n t_j^s |U^j|_1^2 &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (|U^j|_{-s}^2 + k^s \|U^j\|^2) \\ &+ Ck \sum_{j=p}^n (|f^j|_{-s-1}^2 + t_j^s |f^j|_{-1}^2), \quad \text{for } n \geq p. \end{aligned}$$

We need the following generalization of Lemma 3.2.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $p \leq 6$  and  $s \geq 0$ , and let  $U^n$  be the solution of (1.11). Assume that  $m \geq p$  and  $U^{m-p}, \dots, U^{m-1}$  are given. Then we have, with C independent of the positive definite operator A,

$$\begin{aligned} t_n^s \|U^n\|^2 + k \sum_{j=m}^n t_j^s |U^j|_1^2 &\leq C \sum_{j=m-p}^{m-1} (|U^j|_{-s}^2 + k^s \|U^j\|^2) \\ &+ Ck \sum_{j=m}^n (|f^j|_{-s-1}^2 + t_j^s |f^j|_{-1}^2), \quad \text{for } n \geq m. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We modify the proof of Lemma 3.2. By eigenfunction expansion, it suffices to show

(3.3) 
$$n^{s}(U^{n}, \varphi_{l})^{2} + (k\mu_{l}) \sum_{j=m}^{n} j^{s}(U^{j}, \varphi_{l})^{2} \leq C \sum_{j=m-p}^{m-1} \left( (k\mu_{l})^{-s} + 1 \right) (U^{j}, \varphi_{l})^{2} + C \sum_{j=m}^{n} \left( (k\mu_{l})^{-s-1} + j^{s}(k\mu_{l})^{-1} \right) (kf^{j}, \varphi_{l})^{2}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq l < \infty.$$

By (1.11), we find that, with  $1 \le l < \infty$ .

$$(c_0 + k\mu_l)(U^n, \varphi_l) + c_1(U^{n-1}, \varphi_l) + \dots + c_p(U^{n-p}, \varphi_l) = (kf^n, \varphi_l).$$

We now instead consider the equation, with  $\lambda \in \sigma(kA)$ ,  $W^n = W^n(\lambda)$ ,

$$(3.4) (c_0 + \lambda)W^n + c_1W^{n-1} + \dots + c_pW^{n-p} = F^n, \text{for } n \ge m,$$

where  $W^{m-p}, \dots, W^{m-1} \in \mathbf{R}$  are given and  $F^l \in \mathbf{R}, (m \leq l \leq n)$  are arbitrary. We shall show

(3.5) 
$$n^{s}(W^{n})^{2} + \lambda \sum_{j=m}^{n} j^{s}(W^{j})^{2} \leq C \sum_{j=m-p}^{m-1} (\lambda^{-s} + 1)(W^{j})^{2} + C \sum_{j=m}^{n} (\lambda^{-s-1} + j^{s}\lambda^{-1})(F^{j})^{2}.$$

Assuming this and applying this to  $W^n = (U^n, \varphi_l)$ ,  $\lambda = k\mu_l$  and  $F^n = (kf^n, \varphi_l)$ , for fixed  $l, 1 \leq l < \infty$ , we complete the proof of (3.3).

We now turn to prove (3.5). By linearity it suffices to consider separately the case when  $W^{m-l} = 0$ ,  $1 \le l \le p$ , and then the case when  $F^l = 0$  for  $l \ge m$ .

By Lemma 2.2, we find that

(3.6) 
$$n^{s}|\beta_{n}| + \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^{s}|\beta_{j}| \le C(1+\lambda^{-s}), \quad \text{for } n \ge 0.$$

In fact, by (2.7), we have, for  $0 \le \lambda \le \lambda_0$ ,

$$n^s |\beta_n| \le C n^s e^{-cn\lambda} \le C \lambda^{-s}$$

and

$$\lambda \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^s |\beta_j| \le C\lambda \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^s e^{-c\lambda j} = C\lambda^{1-s} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{c}{2}\lambda j} \le C\lambda^{-s}.$$

and for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ , the left-hand side of (3.6), is less than  $Cn^se^{-cn} + C\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^se^{-cj}$ , which is bounded.

We also note that the solutions  $W^n (n \ge m)$  of (3.4) satisfy, by (2.5),

$$W^{m} = \beta_{0}(\lambda)F^{m} + \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \beta_{ps}(\lambda)W^{s+m-p},$$

$$W^{m+1} = \beta_{0}(\lambda)F^{m} + \beta_{1}(\lambda)F^{m+1} + \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \beta_{(p+1)s}(\lambda)W^{s+m-p},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$W^{n} = \sum_{j=m}^{n} \beta_{n-j}(\lambda) F^{j} + \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \beta_{(p+n-m)s}(\lambda) W^{s+m-p}, \quad n \ge m,$$

or, in general form,

(3.7) 
$$W^{n} = \sum_{j=m}^{n} \beta_{n-j} F^{j} + \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \beta_{(p+n-m)s} W^{s+m-p}, \quad \text{for } n \ge m.$$

After the above preparations, we now consider the proof of (3.5) in the case when  $W^{m-p} = \cdots = W^{m-1} = 0$ . We have, by (3.7),

$$W^{n} = \sum_{j=m}^{n} \beta_{n-j} F^{j} = \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \beta_{l} F^{n-l}, \text{ for } n \ge m,$$

so that, using the Schwarz inequality,

$$n^{s}(W^{n})^{2} = n^{s} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \beta_{l} F^{n-l} \right)^{2} \le n^{s} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} |\beta_{l}| \right) \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} |\beta_{l}| (F_{n-l})^{2}.$$

Hence, by (3.6), and noting that  $n^s \leq C(l^s + (n-l)^s)$  and  $1 \leq (n-l)^s$ , we find

(3.8) 
$$n^{s}(W^{n})^{2} \leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} \left( l^{s} |\beta_{l}| (F^{n-l})^{2} + (n-l)^{s} |\beta_{l}| (F^{n-l})^{2} \right)$$
$$\leq C\lambda^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-m} (\lambda^{-s} + (n-l)^{s}) (F^{n-l})^{2},$$

which is the desired estimate for the first term of the left hand side in (3.5). For the second term in (3.5), we have, by (3.8),

$$\lambda \sum_{n=m}^{N} n^{s} (W^{n})^{2} \leq \lambda \sum_{n=m}^{N} \left( \lambda^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \left( j^{s} |\beta_{j}| (F^{n-j})^{2} + |\beta_{j}| (n-j)^{s} (F^{n-j})^{2} \right) \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=m}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-m} \left( j^{s} |\beta_{j}| + n^{s} |\beta_{j}| \right) (F^{n})^{2}$$

$$\leq C \lambda^{-1} \sum_{n=m}^{N} (1 + \lambda^{-s}) (F^{n})^{2} + \lambda^{-1} \sum_{n=m}^{N} n^{s} (F^{n})^{2}$$

$$\leq C \lambda^{-1} \sum_{n=m}^{N} (\lambda^{-s} + n^{s}) (F^{n})^{2},$$

which completes the proof in the present case.

We next consider the case when  $F^j = 0$ ,  $m \le j \le n$  and  $W^{m-l} \ne 0$ ,  $1 \le l \le p$ ,  $W^{m-\bar{l}} = 0$ ,  $1 \le \bar{l} \le p$ ,  $\bar{l} \ne l$ . We begin with the special case l = p. By (3.7) with s = 0, we have

$$W^{n} = \beta_{(p+n-m)0}(\lambda)W^{m-p} = \beta_{n-m}(\lambda)c_{p}W^{m-p},$$

so that, using (2.7) and  $n^s \leq C((n-m)^s + m^s)$ ,

$$n^{s}(W^{n})^{2} \leq Cn^{s}\beta_{n-m}(\lambda)(W^{m-p})^{2} \leq C(1+(n-m)^{s})\beta_{n-m}(\lambda)(W^{m-p})^{2}$$
  
$$\leq C(1+\lambda^{-s})(W^{m-p})^{2}.$$

From this we also obtain

$$\lambda \sum_{n=m}^{N} n^{s} (W^{n})^{2} \leq C \lambda \sum_{n=m}^{N} \left( 1 + (n-m)^{s} \right) \beta_{n-m}^{2} (\lambda) (W^{m-p})^{2}$$
$$\leq C (1 + \lambda^{-s}) (W^{m-p})^{2}.$$

For the general case  $l \neq p$ , we have, by (3.7) with s = p - l

$$W^{n} = \beta_{(p+n-m)(p-l)}(\lambda)W^{m-l} = \sum_{j=l}^{p} \beta_{n-m+l-j}(\lambda)c_{j}W^{m-l},$$

so that, using (2.7) and  $n^{s} \leq C((n-m+l-j)^{s}+(m-l+j)^{s})$ ,

$$n^{s}(W^{n})^{2} \leq Cn^{s} \sum_{j=l}^{p} \beta_{n-m+l-j}(\lambda)(W^{m-l})^{2}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j=l}^{p} (1 + (n-m+l-j)^{s}) \beta_{n-m+l-j}(\lambda)(W^{m-l})^{2}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j=l}^{p} (1 + \lambda^{-s})(W^{m-l})^{2}.$$

From this we also obtain

$$\lambda \sum_{n=m}^{N} n^{s} (W^{n})^{2} \leq C \lambda \sum_{n=m}^{N} \sum_{j=l}^{p} \left( 1 + (n-m+l-j)^{s} \right) \beta_{n-m+l-j}^{2} (\lambda) (W^{m-l})^{2}$$
$$\leq C (1+\lambda^{-s}) (W^{m-l})^{2}.$$

Together these estimates complete the proof.

Now we are the position to state our error estimate.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $p \le 6$  and let  $U^n$  and u be the solutions of (1.11) and (1.1), respectively. Then, with  $G(s) = |u^{(p+1)}(s)|_{-2p-1}^2 + s^{2p+2}|u^{(p+1)}(s)|_1^2 + s^2|u_t(s)|_1^2$ ,

$$t_n^{2p+2} \|\bar{\partial}_p U^n - u_t(t_n)\|^2 \le C \sum_{j=p}^{2p-1} \left( |U^j - u^j|_{-2p}^2 + k^{2p+2} \|A(U^j - u^j)\|^2 \right) + C k^{2p} \left( \int_0^{t_n} G(s) \, ds + t_{2p}^3 |u_t(t_{2p})|_1^2 \right),$$

*Proof.* The error  $\varepsilon^n = \bar{\partial}_p U^n - u_t(t_n) \ (n \ge p)$  satisfies

$$\bar{\partial}_p \varepsilon^n + A \varepsilon^n = -\tau^n$$
, where  $\tau^n = A(\bar{\partial}_p u(t_n) - u_t(t_n))$ , for  $n \ge 2p$ .

Applying Lemma 3.3 with s=2p+2, m=2p, we have, for  $n\geq 2p,$ 

$$|t_n^{2p+2}||\varepsilon^n||^2 \le C \sum_{j=p}^{2p-1} \left( |\varepsilon^j|_{-2p-2}^2 + k^{2p+2}||\varepsilon^j||^2 \right) + Ck \sum_{j=2p}^n \left( |\tau^j|_{-2p-3}^2 + t_j^{2p+2}||\tau^j|_{-1}^2 \right).$$

We now estimate the term  $k \sum_{j=2p}^{n} |\tau^{j}|_{-2p-3}^{2}$ . We will show that, with any norm  $\|\cdot\|$  in H,

(3.9) 
$$\|\bar{\partial}_p u(t_j) - u_t(t_j)\| \le Ck^{p-1} \int_{t_{j-p}}^{t_j} \|u^{(p+1)}(s)\| \, ds, \quad \text{for } j \ge 2p.$$

Assuming this we have

$$|\tau^{j}|_{-2p-3}^{2} \le Ck^{2p-1} \int_{t_{j-p}}^{t_{j}} |u^{(p+1)}(s)|_{-2p-1}^{2} ds$$
, for  $j \ge 2p$ .

Thus

$$k \sum_{j=2p}^{n} |\tau^{j}|_{-2p-3}^{2} \le Ck^{2p} \sum_{j=2p}^{n} \int_{t_{j-p}}^{t_{j}} |u^{(p+1)}(s)|_{-2p-1}^{2} ds$$

$$\le Ck^{2p} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} |u^{(p+1)}(s)|_{-2p-1}^{2} ds.$$

It remains to estimate  $k \sum_{j=2p}^{n} t_j^{2p+2} |\tau^j|_{-1}^2$ . If  $j \neq 2p$ , we have, by (3.9) with norm  $||A^{1/2} \cdot ||$ ,

$$k \sum_{j=2p+1}^{n} t_j^{2p+2} |\tau^j|_{-1}^2 \le Ck^{2p} \sum_{j=2p+1}^{n} t_j^{2p+2} \int_{t_{j-p}}^{t_j} |u^{(p+1)}(s)|_1^2 ds.$$

Here we have  $t_i \leq cs$  for  $s \in [t_{i-p}, t_i], j \geq 2p+1$  which follows from

$$t_j \le s \frac{t_j}{t_{j-p}} \le s \frac{t_{2p+1}}{t_{p+1}} \le cs$$
, for  $j \ge 2p+1$ .

Hence

$$k \sum_{j=2p+1}^n t_j^{2p+2} |\tau^j|_{-1}^2 \le C k^{2p} \sum_{j=2p+1}^n \int_{t_{j-p}}^{t_j} s^{2p+2} |u^{(p+1)}(s)|_1^2 ds.$$

For j = 2p, we write, since  $\sum_{\nu=0}^{p} c_{\nu} = 0$ ,

$$\tau^{2p} = k^{-1} A \Big( \sum_{\nu=0}^{p} c_{\nu} u(t_{2p-\nu}) - u_{t}(t_{2p}) \Big)$$
$$= k^{-1} A \Big( \sum_{\nu=0}^{p} c_{\nu} \int_{t_{p}}^{t_{2p-\nu}} u_{t}(s) \, ds - u_{t}(t_{2p}) \Big),$$

and we obtain

$$|k|\tau^{2p}|_{-1}^2 \le C \int_{t_p}^{t_{2p}} |u_t(s)|_1^2 ds + k|u_t(t_{2p})|_1^2,$$

which follows from

$$|\tau^{2p}|_{-1}^{2} \leq C\left(k^{-2}\sum_{\nu=0}^{p} \left| \int_{t_{p}}^{t_{2p-\nu}} u_{t}(s) ds \right|_{1}^{2} + |u_{t}(t_{2p})|_{1}^{2}\right)$$

$$\leq Ck^{-2}\sum_{\nu=0}^{p} (pk) \int_{t_{p}}^{t_{2p-\nu}} |u_{t}(s)|_{1}^{2} ds + |u_{t}(t_{2p})|_{1}^{2}$$

$$\leq Ck^{-1} \int_{t_{p}}^{t_{2p}} |u_{t}(s)|_{1}^{2} ds + |u_{t}(t_{2p})|_{1}^{2}.$$

Thus, we get

$$kt_{2p}^{2p+2}|\tau^{2p}|_{-1}^{2} \leq Ck^{2p+2} \left( \int_{t_{p}}^{t_{2p}} |u_{t}(s)|_{1}^{2} ds + k|u_{t}(t_{2p})|_{1}^{2} \right)$$

$$\leq Ck^{2p} \left( \int_{t_{p}}^{t_{2p}} s^{2}|u_{t}(s)|_{1}^{2} ds + t_{2p}^{3}|u_{t}(t_{2p})|_{1}^{2} \right).$$

It remains to estimate (3.9). We write, by Taylor expansion around  $t_{j-p}$ ,

$$u(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{p} \frac{u^{(l)}(t_{j-p})}{l!} (t - t_{j-p})^{l} + \frac{1}{p!} \int_{t_{j-p}}^{t} (t - s)^{p} u^{(p+1)}(s) ds$$
$$\equiv Q(t) + R(t).$$

By (1.12) and since Q(t) is a polynomial of degree p, we have  $\bar{\partial}_p Q(t) - Q_t(t) = 0$ . Thus, by (2.1),

$$\bar{\partial}_p u(t_j) - u_t(t_j) = \bar{\partial}_p R(t_j) - R_t(t_j) = k^{-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^p c_{\nu} R(t_{j-\nu}) - R_t(t_j).$$

Noting that

$$||R(t_{j-\nu})|| \le Ck^p \int_{t_{j-p}}^{t_j} ||u^{(p+1)}(s)|| ds, \quad \text{for } 0 \le \nu \le p, \quad j \ge 2p,$$

and

$$||R_t(t_j)|| = \frac{1}{(p-1)!} || \int_{t_{j-p}}^{t_j} (t_j - s)^{p-1} u^{(p+1)}(s) ds ||$$

$$\leq Ck^{p-1} \int_{t_{j-p}}^{t_j} ||u^{(p+1)}(s)|| ds,$$

we complete the proof of (3.9).

Together these estimates complete the proof.

In the homogeneous case, i.e., f=0, we have the following nonsmooth data error estimates.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $p \le 6$  and let  $U^n$  and u be the solutions of (1.11) and (1.1), respectively. Assume that f = 0 and the discrete initial values satisfy

$$(3.10) |U^{j} - u^{j}|_{-2p} + k^{p+1} ||A(U^{j} - u^{j})|| \le Ck^{p} ||v||, for p \le j \le 2p - 1.$$

Then, with C independent of the positive definite operator A,

$$\|\bar{\partial}_p U^n - u_t(t_n)\| \le C k^p t_n^{-p-1} \|v\|, \quad \text{for } n \ge 2p.$$

*Proof.* For the solution u of homogeneous parabolic equation, it is easy to show that

$$\int_0^{t_n} |u^{(p+1)}(s)|_{-2p-1}^2 ds \le C \|v\|^2, \quad \int_0^{t_n} s^{2p+2} |u^{(p+1)}(s)|_1^2 ds \le C \|v\|^2,$$

and  $t_{2p}^3|u_t(t_{2p})|_1^2 \leq C||v||^2$ . Applying for Theorem 3.4, we complete the proof.

#### 4. Error estimates for the starting values

In Theorems 3.4 and 3.5, we see that it is necessary to define starting approximations  $\{U^j\}_{j=0}^{p-1}$  such that

$$|U^j - u^j|_{-2p} + k^{p+1} ||A(U^j - u^j)|| = O(k^p), \text{ for } p \le j \le 2p - 1.$$

In this section we will investigate two simplest cases p = 1, 2. The approach can be extended to the general case for p > 2, but the proof is more complicated.

In the case of p = 1, the approximate solution is defined by the backward Euler method

(4.1) 
$$\bar{\partial}_1 U^n + AU^n = f^n, \quad \text{for } n \ge 1, \quad \text{with } U^0 = v,$$

or, with  $r(\lambda) = 1/(1 + \lambda)$ ,

$$U^n = r(kA)U^{n-1} + kr(kA)f^n$$
, for  $n \ge 1$ , with  $U^0 = v$ .

We then have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $U^1$  and u be the solutions of (4.1) and (1.1), respectively. Then we have

$$(4.2) |U^{1} - u^{1}|_{-2} + k^{2} ||A(U^{1} - u^{1})||$$

$$\leq Ck||v - A^{-1}f(0)|| + Ck \int_{0}^{k} ||A^{-1}f'(\tau)|| d\tau + Ck^{2} \int_{0}^{k} ||f'(\tau)|| d\tau.$$

In particular, if f = 0, then

$$(4.3) |U^1 - u^1|_{-2} + k^2 ||A(U^1 - u^1)|| \le Ck||v||.$$

*Proof.* Noting that  $u^1 = e^{-kA}v + \int_0^k e^{-(k-s)A}f(s)\,ds$  and using Taylor's formula, we have

$$U^{1} - u^{1} = (r(kA) - e^{-kA})v + kr(kA)f^{1} - \int_{0}^{k} e^{-(k-s)A}f(s) ds$$

$$= (r(kA) - e^{-kA})v + kr(kA)(f(0) + \int_{0}^{k} f'(\tau) d\tau)$$

$$- k \int_{0}^{1} e^{-(1-s)kA}(f(0) + \int_{0}^{ks} f'(\tau) d\tau) ds$$

$$= (r(kA) - e^{-kA})v + kb_{0}(kA)f(0) + kR(f),$$

where

$$b_0(\lambda) = r(\lambda) - \int_0^1 e^{-(1-s)\lambda} ds,$$

and

(4.4) 
$$R(f) = r(kA) \int_0^k f'(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 e^{-(1-s)kA} \int_0^{ks} f'(\tau) d\tau ds.$$

Thus we have, noting that  $\lambda b_0(\lambda) = -(r(\lambda) - e^{-\lambda})$ ,

(4.5) 
$$A(U^{1} - u^{1}) = (r(kA) - e^{-kA})(Av - f(0)) + kAR(f)$$
$$= -(r(kA) - e^{-kA})u_{t}(0) + kAR(f).$$

We first show that

(4.6) 
$$k^2 ||A(U^1 - u^1)|| \le Ck ||A^{-1}u_t(0)|| + Ck^2 \int_0^k ||f'(\tau)|| d\tau.$$

In fact, by (4.4) and (4.5),

$$k^{2} ||A(U^{1} - u^{1})|| \leq k ||kA(r(kA) - e^{-kA})A^{-1}u_{t}(0)||$$

$$+ k^{2} ||kAr(kA) \int_{0}^{k} f'(\tau) d\tau||$$

$$+ k^{2} ||\int_{0}^{1} kAe^{-(1-s)kA} \int_{0}^{ks} f'(\tau) d\tau ds||$$

$$= I + II + III.$$

For I, we have, since  $|\lambda(r(\lambda) - e^{-\lambda})| \le C$  for  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ ,

$$I \leq Ck ||A^{-1}u_t(0)||.$$

For II, we have, since  $|\lambda r(\lambda)| \leq C$  for  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ ,

$$II \le Ck^2 \int_0^k \|f'(\tau)\| \, d\tau.$$

For III, we have, since  $\left| \int_{\epsilon}^{1} \lambda e^{-(1-s)\lambda} ds \right| \leq C$  for  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ ,

$$III = k^{2} \left\| \int_{0}^{k} \int_{\tau/k}^{1} kAe^{-(1-s)kA} f'(\tau) \, ds \, d\tau \right\|$$

$$\leq Ck^{2} \left( \int_{0}^{k} \|f'(\tau)\| \, d\tau \right) \left\| \int_{\tau/k}^{1} kAe^{-(1-s)kA} \, ds \right\|$$

$$\leq Ck^{2} \int_{0}^{k} \|f'(\tau)\| \, d\tau.$$

Thus we obtain (4.6).

We next show that

$$(4.7) |A(U^{1} - u^{1})|_{-4} \le Ck||A^{-1}u_{t}(0)|| + Ck\int_{0}^{k} ||A^{-1}f'(\tau)|| d\tau.$$

In fact, by (4.4) and (4.5),

$$|A(U^{1} - u^{1})|_{-4} \leq k \| (kA)^{-1} (r(kA) - e^{-kA}) A^{-1} u_{t}(0) \|$$

$$+ k \| r(kA) \int_{0}^{k} A^{-1} f'(\tau) d\tau \|$$

$$+ k \| \int_{0}^{1} e^{-(1-s)kA} \int_{0}^{ks} A^{-1} f'(\tau) d\tau ds \|$$

$$= I + II + III.$$

For I, we have, since  $|\lambda^{-1}(r(\lambda) - e^{-\lambda})| \leq C$  for  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ ,

$$I \le Ck ||A^{-1}u_t(0)|| \le Ck ||v - A^{-1}f(0)||.$$

For II, we have, since  $|r(\lambda)| \leq C$  for  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ ,

$$II \le Ck \int_0^k ||A^{-1}f'(\tau)|| d\tau.$$

For III, we have, since  $\left| \int_{\epsilon}^{1} e^{-(1-s)\lambda} ds \right| \leq C$  for  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ ,

$$III = k \left\| \int_0^k \int_{\tau/k}^1 kA e^{-(1-s)kA} A^{-1} f'(\tau) \, ds \, d\tau \right\|$$

$$\leq Ck \left( \int_0^k \|A^{-1} f'(\tau)\| \, d\tau \right) \left\| \int_{\tau/k}^1 e^{-(1-s)kA} \, ds \right\|$$

$$\leq Ck \int_0^k \|A^{-1} f'(\tau)\| \, d\tau.$$

Thus we obtain (4.7).

We now turn to the case p = 2. In this case we need two starting values  $U^0, U^1$ . We will use the backward Euler method to compute  $U^1$ , i.e., the approximation  $U^n$  of the solution  $u(t_n)$  of (1.1) is defined by

(4.8) 
$$\bar{\partial}_2 U^n + A U^n = f^n \text{ for } n \ge 2, \qquad \bar{\partial} U^1 + A U^1 = f^1, \text{ with } U^0 = v.$$

We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $U^j$ , j = 2, 3 and u be the solutions of (4.8) and (1.1), respectively. Then we have

$$(4.9) \quad |U^{j} - u^{j}|_{-4} + k^{3} ||A(U^{j} - u^{j})|| \le Ck^{2} \Big( ||v|| + ||f(0)|| + \int_{0}^{t_{j}} ||f'(\tau)|| \, d\tau \Big), \quad j = 2, 3.$$

In particular, if f = 0, then

$$(4.10) |U^j - u^j|_{-4} + k^3 ||A(U^j - u^j)|| \le Ck^2 ||v||, \quad j = 2, 3.$$

*Proof.* Here we only prove the case j=2, i.e., we will show that

$$(4.11) |U^2 - u^2|_{-4} + k^3 ||A(U^2 - u^2)|| = O(k^2).$$

The proof for the case j = 3 is similar.

Since  $\bar{\partial}_2 U^2 = k^{-1} \left( \frac{3}{2} U^2 - 2U^1 + \frac{1}{2} U^0 \right)$ , we may write

$$U^{2} = q_{1}(kA)U^{1} + q_{2}(kA)U^{0} + kP(kA)f^{2},$$

where

$$q_1(\lambda) = \frac{2}{3/2 + \lambda}, \quad q_2(\lambda) = \frac{-1/2}{3/2 + \lambda}, \quad \text{and} \quad P(\lambda) = \frac{1}{3/2 + \lambda}.$$

Thus, noting that  $u^2 = e^{-2kA}v + \int_0^{2k} e^{-(2k-s)A}f(s) ds$ , we have

$$U^{2} - u^{2} = q_{1}(kA)(U^{1} - u^{1}) + q_{2}(kA)(U^{0} - u^{0}) + E_{2}.$$

Here

$$E_{2} = q_{1}(kA)u^{1} + q_{2}(kA)u^{0} + kP(kA)f^{2} - u^{2}$$

$$= q_{1}(kA)\left(e^{-kA}v + \int_{0}^{k} e^{-(k-s)A}f(s) ds\right)$$

$$+ q_{2}(kA)v + kP(kA)f^{2}$$

$$- \left(e^{-2kA}v + \int_{0}^{2k} e^{-(2k-s)A}f(s) ds\right)$$

$$= \left(q_{1}(kA)e^{-kA} + q_{2}(kA) - e^{-2k}\right)v$$

$$+ kq_{1}(kA)\int_{0}^{1} e^{-(1-s)kA}\left(f(0) + \int_{0}^{ks} f'(\tau) d\tau\right) ds$$

$$+ kP(kA)\left(f(0) + \int_{0}^{2k} f'(\tau) d\tau\right)$$

$$- 2k\int_{0}^{1} e^{-2(1-s)kA}\left(f(0) + \int_{0}^{2ks} f'(\tau) d\tau\right) ds$$

$$= Q(kA)v + kb_{0}(kA)f(0) + kR(f),$$

where

$$Q(\lambda) = q_1(\lambda)e^{-\lambda} + q_2(\lambda) - e^{-2\lambda},$$

and

$$b_0(\lambda) = q_1(\lambda) \int_0^1 e^{-(1-s)\lambda} ds + P(\lambda) - 2 \int_0^1 e^{-2(1-s)\lambda} ds,$$

and

$$R(f) = q_1(kA) \int_0^1 e^{-(1-s)kA} \left( \int_0^{ks} f'(\tau) d\tau \right) ds$$
$$+ P(kA) \int_0^{2k} f'(\tau) d\tau$$
$$- 2 \int_0^1 e^{-2(1-s)kA} \left( \int_0^{2ks} f'(\tau) d\tau \right) ds.$$

Thus we have

(4.13) 
$$A(U^2 - u^2) = Aq_1(kA)(U^1 - u^1) + AE_2.$$

Let us show that

$$(4.14) k^3 ||A(U^2 - u^2)|| \le Ck^2 \Big( ||v|| + k||f(0)|| + k \int_0^{2k} ||f'(\tau)|| d\tau \Big).$$

In fact, by (4.12) and (4.13),

$$k^{3}||A(U^{2}-u^{2})|| \leq k^{3}||Aq_{1}(kA)(U^{1}-u^{1})|| + k^{3}||AQ(kA)v||$$

$$+ k^{3}||kAb_{0}(kA)f(0)|| + k^{3}||kAR(f)||$$

$$= I + II + III + IV.$$

We first estimate the terms II, III, and IV, then we turn to the term I. For II, we have, since  $|\lambda Q(\lambda)| < C$  for  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ ,

$$II = k^2 ||kAQ(kA)v|| \le Ck^2 ||v||.$$

For III, we have, since  $|\lambda b_0(\lambda)| \leq C$  for  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ ,

$$III = k^3 ||kAb_0(kA)f(0)|| < Ck^3 ||f(0)||.$$

For IV, we have

$$IV \le Ck^{3} \left\| kAq_{1}(kA) \int_{0}^{k} \int_{\tau/k}^{1} kAe^{-(1-s)kA} f'(\tau) \, ds \, d\tau \right\|$$

$$+ Ck^{3} \left\| kAP(kA) \int_{0}^{2k} f'(\tau) \, d\tau \right\|$$

$$+ Ck^{3} \left\| kA \int_{0}^{2k} \int_{\tau/2k}^{1} e^{-2(1-s)kA} f'(\tau) \, ds \, d\tau \right\|$$

$$\le Ck^{3} \int_{0}^{2k} \|f'(\tau)\| \, d\tau.$$

Now we turn to I.

$$I = k^{3} ||Aq_{1}(kA)(U^{1} - u^{1})|| \leq k^{3} ||Aq_{1}(kA)(r(kA) - e^{-kA}v)||$$

$$+ k^{3} ||Aq_{1}(kA)(kr(kA) - k \int_{0}^{1} e^{-(1-s)kA} ds) f(0)||$$

$$+ k^{3} ||Aq_{1}(kA)(kr(kA) \int_{0}^{k} f'(\tau) d\tau - k \int_{0}^{1} e^{-(1-s)kA} \int_{0}^{ks} f'(\tau) d\tau ds)||$$

$$= I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3}.$$

It is easy to show that

$$I_1 \le Ck^2 ||v||, \quad I_2 \le Ck^3 ||f(0)||, \quad \text{and} \quad I_3 \le 2k^3 \int_0^k ||f'|| d\tau.$$

Thus we get

$$I \le Ck^2 \Big( \|v\| + k \|f(0)\| + k \int_0^k \|f'\| \, d\tau \Big).$$

Combining this with the estimates for II, III and IV, we obtain (4.14) We next show that

$$(4.15) |A(U^2 - u^2)|_{-6} \le Ck^2 \Big( ||v|| + \int_0^{2k} ||f'(\tau)|| \, d\tau \Big).$$

In fact, by (4.12) and (4.13),

$$|A(U^{2} - u^{2})|_{-6} \leq |Aq_{1}(kA)(U^{1} - u^{1})|_{-6} + |AQ(kA)v|_{-6} + |kAb_{0}(kA)f(0)|_{-6} + |kAR(f)|_{-6}$$

$$= I' + II' + III' + IV'.$$
(4.16)

We first estimate the terms II', III', and IV', then we turn to the term I'. For II', we have, since  $|\lambda^{-2}Q(\lambda)| < C$  for  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ ,

$$II' = |kAQ(kA)v|_{-6} = k^2 ||(kA)^{-2}Q(kA)v|| \le Ck^2 ||v||.$$

For III', we have, since  $|\lambda^{-1}b_0(\lambda)| \leq C$  for  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ ,

$$III' = |kAb_0(kA)f(0)|_{-6} = k^2 ||(kA)^{-1}b_0(kA)A^{-1}|| \le Ck^2 ||f(0)||.$$

For IV', we have

$$IV' \leq C \left\| kA^{-2}q_1(kA) \int_0^k \int_{\tau/k}^1 kAe^{-(1-s)kA} f'(\tau) \, ds \, d\tau \right\|$$

$$+ C \left\| kA^{-2}P(kA) \int_0^{2k} f'(\tau) \, d\tau \right\|$$

$$+ C \left\| kA^{-2} \int_0^{2k} \int_{\tau/2k}^1 e^{-2(1-s)kA} f'(\tau) \, ds \, d\tau \right\|$$

$$\leq Ck^2 \int_0^{2k} \|f'(\tau)\| \, d\tau.$$

Now we turn to I'.

$$I' = |Aq_{1}(kA)(U^{1} - u^{1})|_{-6} \le |Aq_{1}(kA)(r(kA) - e^{-kA}v)|_{-6}$$

$$+ |Aq_{1}(kA)(kr(kA) - k \int_{0}^{1} e^{-(1-s)kA} ds)f(0)|_{-6}$$

$$+ |Aq_{1}(kA)(kr(kA) \int_{0}^{k} f'(\tau) d\tau - k \int_{0}^{1} e^{-(1-s)kA} \int_{0}^{ks} f'(\tau) d\tau ds)|_{-6}$$

$$= I'_{1} + I'_{2} + I'_{3}.$$

It is easy to show that

$$I_1' \le Ck^2 ||v||, \quad I_2' \le Ck^2 ||f(0)||, \quad \text{and} \quad I_3' \le Ck^2 \int_0^k ||f'|| d\tau.$$

Thus we get

$$I' \le Ck^2 \Big( \|v\| + \|f(0)\| + \int_0^k \|f'\| \, d\tau \Big).$$

Combining this with the estimates for II', III' and IV', we obtain (4.15). Together these estimates we show (4.11). The proof is complete.

**Acknowledgement.** I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Stig Larsson, who suggested the topic of this paper, for his support and valuable criticism.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. J. Becker, A second order backward difference method with variable steps for a parabolic problem, BIT 38 (1998), 644–662.
- J. H. Bramble, J. E. Pasciak, P. H. Sammon, and V. Thomée, Incomplete iterations in multistep backward difference methods for parabolic problems with smooth and nonsmooth data, Math. Comp. 52 (1989), 339–367.
- 3. M. Crouzeix, On multistep approximation of semigroups in Banach spaces, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 20 (1987), 25–35.
- 4. E. Hairer and G. Wanner, Solving Ordinary Differential Equations. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991, Stiff and Differential-algebraic Problems.

- 5. A. Hansbo, Nonsmooth data error estimates for damped single step methods for parabolic equations in Banach space, Calcolo **36** (1999), 75–101.
- 6. \_\_\_\_\_\_, Strong stability and non-smooth data error estimates for discretizations of linear parabolic problems, BIT 42 (2002), 351-379.
- 7. M.-N. Le Roux, Semi-discrétisation en temps pour les équations d'évolution paraboliques lorsque l'opérateur dépend du temps, RAIRO Anal. Numér. 13 (1979), 119-137.
- 8. \_\_\_\_\_, Semidiscretization in time for parabolic problems, Math. Comp. 33 (1979), 919–931.
- 9. C. Palencia and B. Garcia-Archilla, Stability of linear multistep methods for sectorial operators in Banach spaces, Appl. Numer. Math. 12 (1993), 503–520.
- 10. G. Savaré, A(Θ)-stable approximations of abstract Cauchy problems, Numer. Math. 65 (1993), 319–335.
- 11. V. Thomée, Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- 12. Y. Yan, Approximation of time derivatives for parabolic equations in Banach space: constant time steps, Preprint 2002–01, Chalmers Finite Element Center, Chalmers University of Technology, to appear in IMA J. Numer. Anal.
- 13. \_\_\_\_\_, Approximation of time derivatives for parabolic equations in Banach space: variable time steps, Preprint 2002–02, Chalmers Finite Element Center, Chalmers University of Technology, to appear in BIT.

### Chalmers Finite Element Center Preprints

2001-01	A simple nonconforming bilinear element for the elasticity problem Peter Hansbo and Mats G. Larson
2001-02	The $\mathcal{LL}^*$ finite element method and multigrid for the magnetostatic problem Rickard Bergström, Mats G. Larson, and Klas Samuelsson
2001-03	The Fokker-Planck operator as an asymptotic limit in anisotropic media Mohammad Asadzadeh
2001-04	A posteriori error estimation of functionals in elliptic problems: experiments Mats G. Larson and A. Jonas Niklasson
2001-05	A note on energy conservation for Hamiltonian systems using continuous time finite elements Peter Hansbo
2001-06	Stationary level set method for modelling sharp interfaces in groundwater flow Nahidh Sharif and Nils-Erik Wiberg
2001-07	Integration methods for the calculation of the magnetostatic field due to coils Marzia Fontana
2001-08	Adaptive finite element computation of 3D magnetostatic problems in potential formulation  Marzia Fontana
2001-09	Multi-adaptive galerkin methods for ODEs I: theory & algorithms Anders Logg
2001–10	Multi-adaptive galerkin methods for ODEs II: applications Anders Logg
2001–11	Energy norm a posteriori error estimation for discontinuous Galerkin methods Roland Becker, Peter Hansbo, and Mats G. Larson
2001-12	Analysis of a family of discontinuous Galerkin methods for elliptic problems: the one dimensional case  Mats G. Larson and A. Jonas Niklasson
2001–13	Analysis of a nonsymmetric discontinuous Galerkin method for elliptic problems: stability and energy error estimates  Mats G. Larson and A. Jonas Niklasson
2001–14	A hybrid method for the wave equation Larisa Beilina, Klas Samuelsson and Krister Åhlander
2001–15	A finite element method for domain decomposition with non-matching grids Roland Becker, Peter Hansbo and Rolf Stenberg
2001–16	Application of stable FEM-FDTD hybrid to scattering problems Thomas Rylander and Anders Bondeson
2001–17	Eddy current computations using adaptive grids and edge elements Y. Q. Liu, A. Bondeson, R. Bergström, C. Johnson, M. G. Larson, and K. Samuelsson
2001–18	Adaptive finite element methods for incompressible fluid flow Johan Hoffman and Claes Johnson
2001-19	$\begin{tabular}{ll} Dynamic subgrid modeling for time dependent convection-diffusion-reaction \\ equations with fractal solutions \\ \end{tabular}$ Johan Hoffman

2001-	-20	Topics in adaptive computational methods for differential equations Claes Johnson, Johan Hoffman and Anders Logg
2001-	-21	An unfitted finite element method for elliptic interface problems Anita Hansbo and Peter Hansbo
2001-	-22	A $P^2$ -continuous, $P^1$ -discontinuous finite element method for the Mindlin Reissner plate model Peter Hansbo and Mats G. Larson
2002	-01	$Approximation\ of\ time\ derivatives\ for\ parabolic\ equations\ in\ Banach\ space\ constant\ time\ steps$ Yubin Yan
2002-	-02	Approximation of time derivatives for parabolic equations in Banach space variable time steps Yubin Yan
2002-	-03	Stability of explicit-implicit hybrid time-stepping schemes for Maxwell's equations Thomas Rylander and Anders Bondeson
2002-	-04	A computational study of transition to turbulence in shear flow Johan Hoffman and Claes Johnson
2002-	-05	Adaptive hybrid FEM/FDM methods for inverse scattering problems Larisa Beilina
2002-	-06	$DOLFIN$ - $Dynamic\ Object\ oriented\ Library\ for\ FINite\ element\ computation$ Johan Hoffman and Anders Logg
2002-	-07	Explicit time-stepping for stiff ODEs Kenneth Eriksson, Claes Johnson and Anders Logg
2002-	-08	Adaptive finite element methods for turbulent flow Johan Hoffman
2002-	-09	Adaptive multiscale computational modeling of complex incompressible fluid flow Johan Hoffman and Claes Johnson
2002	-10	Least-squares finite element methods with applications in electromagnetics Rickard Bergström
2002-	-11	Discontinuous/continuous least-squares finite element methods for elliptic problems Rickard Bergström and Mats G. Larson
2002	-12	Discontinuous least-squares finite element methods for the Div-Curl problem Rickard Bergström and Mats G. Larson
2002-	-13	Object oriented implementation of a general finite element code Rickard Bergström
2002-	14	On adaptive strategies and error control in fracture mechanics Per Heintz and Klas Samuelsson
2002-	15	A unified stabilized method for Stokes' and Darcy's equations Erik Burman and Peter Hansbo
2002-	16	A finite element method on composite grids based on Nitsche's method Anita Hansbo, Peter Hansbo and Mats G. Larson
2002-	17	$Edge \ stabilization \ for \ Galerkin \ approximations \ of \ convection-diffusion \ problems$ Erik Burman and Peter Hansbo

2002-18	Adaptive strategies and error control for computing material forces in fracture mechanics
	Per Heintz, Fredrik Larsson, Peter Hansbo and Kenneth Runesson
2002-19	$A\ variable\ diffusion\ method\ for\ mesh\ smoothing$
	J. Hermansson and P. Hansbo
2003 - 01	A hybrid method for elastic waves
	L.Beilina
2003-02	Application of the local nonobtuse tetrahedral refinement techniques near
	Fichera-like corners
	L.Beilina, S.Korotov and M. Křížek
2003-03	Nitsche's method for coupling non-matching meshes in fluid-structure vibration problems
	Peter Hansbo and Joakim Hermansson
2003-04	${\it Crouzeix-Raviart \ and \ Raviart-Thomas \ elements \ for \ acoustic \ fluid-structure interaction}$
	Joakim Hermansson
2003-05	Smoothing properties and approximation of time derivatives in multistep backward difference methods for linear parabolic equations
	Yubin Yan

These preprints can be obtained from

www.phi.chalmers.se/preprints