CHALMERS FINITE ELEMENT CENTER



PREPRINT 2003–15

Stabilized Crouzeix–Raviart element for the Darcy–Stokes problem

Erik Burman and Peter Hansbo



Chalmers Finite Element Center CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Göteborg Sweden 2003

CHALMERS FINITE ELEMENT CENTER

Preprint 2003–15

Stabilized Crouzeix–Raviart element for the Darcy–Stokes problem

Erik Burman and Peter Hansbo



CHALMERS

Chalmers Finite Element Center Chalmers University of Technology SE–412 96 Göteborg Sweden Göteborg, September 2003

Stabilized Crouzeix–Raviart element for the Darcy–Stokes problem Erik Burman and Peter Hansbo NO 2003–15 ISSN 1404–4382

Chalmers Finite Element Center Chalmers University of Technology SE-412 96 Göteborg Sweden Telephone: +46 (0)31 772 1000 Fax: +46 (0)31 772 3595 www.phi.chalmers.se

Printed in Sweden Chalmers University of Technology Göteborg, Sweden 2003

STABILIZED CROUZEIX–RAVIART ELEMENT FOR THE DARCY–STOKES PROBLEM

ERIK BURMAN AND PETER HANSBO

ABSTRACT. We stabilize the nonconforming Crouzeix-Raviart element for the Darcy-Stokes problem with terms motivated by a discontinuous Galerkin approach. Convergence of the method is shown, also the in limit of vanishing viscosity. Finally, some numerical examples verifying the theoretical predictions are presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Darcy-Stokes problem is interesting for a variety of reasons. Apart from being a modeling tool in its own right, it also appears, less obviously, in time-stepping methods for Stokes and for high Reynolds number flows (where of course the convective term causes addidtional difficulties). It would thus be advantageous if the same element could be used in both the Stokes limit and the Darcy limit. One obvious candidate for such an element is the nonconforming Crouzeix-Raviart (CR) element, which has several nice properties: in combination with piecewise constant pressures it satisfies the *inf-sup* condition and is elementwise mass conserving; it is also easy to implement. However, in a recent paper by Mardal, Tai, and Winther [8] it is shown that the CR element does not converge when applied to the Darcy problem (or the Darcy-Stokes problem with vanishing viscosity). It is also well known that the CR element does not fulfill a discrete Korn's inequality which precludes the use of the physically more realistic form of the Stokes operator. Stabilization sufficient for fulfilling the Korn's inequality was introduced by Hansbo and Larson [7] for the elasticity operator. In this paper we also show that a similar stabilization for a mixed finite element method, with piecewise constant pressure, for the Darcy-Stokes problem will ensure convergence in the Darcy limit.

For the Darcy problem, it turns out that our method yields a better convergence numerically, $O(h^2)$, for the velocities than is to be expected from our analysis, which gives errors of O(h) (here h is the mesh size parameter). The reason we cannot obtain second order convergence in the analysis is that the error in the divergence term appears in the form of the nonconformity in the normal jump emanating from the Crouzeix-Raviart

Date: September 30, 2003.

Key words and phrases. Stokes problem, Darcy's problem, generalized Stokes problem, Crouzeix–Raviart element.

Erik Burman, Department of Mathematics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland, *email*: Erik.Burman@epfl.ch

Peter Hansbo, Department of Solid Mechanics, Chalmers University of Technology, S–412 96 Göteborg, Sweden, *email*: hansbo@solid.chalmers.se.

approximation. Thus the error in divergence is still present which allows pollution from the poor approximation of the pressure. In H(div) conforming methods there is no such term, and the problem is instead to obtain the full polynomial approximation necessary for the analysis in the Stokes case. Examples of elements with normal continuity and full polynomial approximation include the BDM element [2], which however is nonconforming in the Stokes case, and the construction in [8]. We remark that a drawback of such approximations is that they are geometry-dependent and cannot be constructed on a reference element, unlike the CR element.

2. Problem statement

In this paper we will consider a Darcy-Stokes problem of the following type

(2.1)
$$\sigma \boldsymbol{u} - 2\mu \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}) + \nabla p = \boldsymbol{f} \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{g} \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

Here Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^d , d = 2 or d = 3, with outward pointing normal \boldsymbol{n} , \boldsymbol{u} is the velocity vector, p is the pressure, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}) = [\varepsilon_{ij}(\boldsymbol{u})]_{i,j=1}^d$ is the symmetric part of the velocity gradient tensor with components

$$\varepsilon_{ij}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right),$$

 $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{d} \partial \varepsilon_{ij} / \partial x_j\right]_{i=1}^{d}, \ \boldsymbol{I} = [\delta_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{2}$ with $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if i = j and $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$, tr $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \sum_k \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{kk}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}$, and \boldsymbol{f} and \boldsymbol{g} are given force terms. Furthermore, $\mu \geq 0$ is the viscosity constant and $\sigma \geq 0$ is a permeability constant. We assume that either $\sigma \geq 1$ or $\mu \geq 1$. For simplicity we assume Dirichlet conditions on the boundary, that is, $\boldsymbol{u} = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ for Stokes and $\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ in the limit case $\mu = 0$, i.e., Darcy flow.

The main difference between Stokes and Darcy's equations, from the point of view of analysis, is that in Stokes the velocities are $[H^1(\Omega)]^d$ whereas in the case of Darcy they are only in $H(\text{div}; \Omega)$. This loss of regularity must be accounted for in the analysis, and this is the main reason why the stabilized mixed nonconforming CR element combined with piecewise constant pressures is an ideal candidate for the problem: since the incompressibility condition is tested with constants the solution satisfies the incompressibility condition exactly on each element.

In this paper we apply this mixed stabilized method to Stokes' equations and Darcy's equations in a unified manner and prove optimal a priori estimates in the energy norm applying to both systems. We also give numerical examples showing the performance of the method on the separate problems.

3. FINITE ELEMENT FORMULATION

In order to formulate our finite element method we first introduce the weak formulation of problem (2.1). We introduce the Hilbert spaces

$$W^{D} = \{ v \in H_{\text{div}}(\Omega) : \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \},\$$

for the case $\mu = 0$,

$$W^S = \{ \boldsymbol{v} \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^d \},\$$

for $\mu > c > 0$, and

$$L_0^2 = \{q \in L^2(\Omega) : \int_\Omega q \, \mathrm{d}x = 0\}$$

with Ω some open subset of \mathbb{R}^d . We denote the product space $W^X \times L^2_0$ by \mathcal{W}^X where X is chosen to D or S depending on the choice of equation. Let

$$a(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}) := \int_{\Omega} 2\,\mu\,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}) : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v})\,d\Omega + \int_{\Omega}\sigma\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}\,d\Omega$$

and consider the bilinear form

(3.1)
$$B[(\boldsymbol{u},p),(\boldsymbol{v},q)] = a(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}) - (p,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{v}) + (q,\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{u}).$$

The weak formulation of (2.1) now takes the form, find $(u, p) \in \mathcal{W}^X$ such that

(3.2)
$$B[(\boldsymbol{u},p),(\boldsymbol{v},q)] = (f,\boldsymbol{v}) \quad \forall (\boldsymbol{v},q) \in \mathcal{W}^X$$

Let \mathcal{T}_h be a conforming, shape regular triangulation of Ω and \mathcal{E}_h denote the set of all element sides in the mesh. We introduce the non-conforming Crouzeix-Raviart finite element space of piecewise linears and piecewise constants

$$V_h := \{ \boldsymbol{v} : \boldsymbol{v} |_K \in [P_1(K)]^d : \int_e [\boldsymbol{v}] \, ds = 0, \; \forall e \in \mathcal{E}_h \},$$

where $[\boldsymbol{v}]$ denotes the jump across the edge for internal edges and $[\boldsymbol{v}] = \boldsymbol{v}$ for $e \cap \partial \Omega \neq \emptyset$. Further,

$$Q_h := \{q : q | _K \in P_0(K) : \int_{\Omega} q \, \mathrm{d}x = 0\}.$$

We will also use the space

$$W_h := \{ \boldsymbol{v} \in V_h : \sum_K \int_K \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \, q \, dx = 0 \quad \forall q \in Q_h \}.$$

It is well known (see, e.g., [2]) that the spaces V_h , Q_h satisfy the inf-sup condition and hence W_h is non-empty.

We introduce the following bilinear form on which we will base our finite element method

(3.3)
$$B_h[(\boldsymbol{u}, p), (\boldsymbol{v}, q)] = a_h(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) - (p, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v})_h + (q, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})_h + J_\mu(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) + J_0(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})$$

where

$$a_h(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \int_{\Omega} \sigma \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \, d\Omega + \sum_K \int_K 2\,\mu\,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}) : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}) \, dx,$$
$$(p, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v})_h = \sum_K \int_K p\,\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \, dx,$$

$$J_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}) = \sum_{K} \gamma_{\mu} \int_{\partial K} \frac{\mu}{h_{K}} [\boldsymbol{u}] \cdot [\boldsymbol{v}] \mathrm{d}s,$$

and

$$J_0(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \sum_K \gamma_0 \int_{\partial K} \frac{1}{h_K} [\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}] [\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}] \mathrm{d}s,$$

where, for definiteness, \boldsymbol{n} is the outward unit normal to K and γ_{μ} and γ_{0} are constants independent of h. Below, we shall use the shorthand notation

$$j(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) := J_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) + J_0(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})$$

Remark 1. The point of the jump terms is as follows. In [1] it was shown that $J_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})$ controls the rigid body rotations which cause a lack of coercivity for the Crouzeix-Raviart approximation when applied to the Stokes problem written in terms of the symmetric part of the velocity gradient (cf. also [7]). The term $J_0(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})$ is necessary in the Darcy limit to control the nonconformity emanating from the pressure term.

Remark 2. The jump terms can be motivated by applying the discontinuous Galerkin method proposed by Hansbo and Larson [6] for the mixed form of the elasticity equations to the Crouzeix-Raviart approximation.

We propose the following finite element formulation: find $(\boldsymbol{u}_h, p_h) \in V_{\times}Q_h$ such that

(3.4)
$$B_h[(\boldsymbol{u}_h, p_h), (\boldsymbol{v}_h, q_h)] = (f, \boldsymbol{v}_h), \quad \forall (\boldsymbol{v}_h, q_h) \in V_h \times Q_h$$

This finite element formulation is simply the standard Galerkin formulation with the penalizing terms $J_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{u}_h, \boldsymbol{v}_h)$ and $J_0(\boldsymbol{u}_h, \boldsymbol{v}_h)$ added.

Lemma 1. For $\boldsymbol{v} \in W_h$, we have $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}|_K = 0 \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

Proof. Since $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}$ is a constant on each K for $\boldsymbol{v} \in V_h$, we may take $q = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}$ on K, q = 0 elsewhere, leading to

$$0 = \int_{K} |\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}|^2 \, dx = \operatorname{meas}(K) \, |\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}|_{K}^2$$

which is the statement of the lemma.

4. Stability

For the stability analysis, we consider first the following reduced problem: Find $\boldsymbol{u}_h \in W_h$ such that

$$a_h(\boldsymbol{u}_h, \boldsymbol{v}_h) + j(\boldsymbol{u}_h, \boldsymbol{v}_h) = (\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{v}_h) \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v}_h \in W_h.$$

On W_h we have

$$\begin{split} a_h(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}_h) + j(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}_h) &= (\sigma \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}_h) + (2\mu, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}_h))_h \\ &= (\sigma \boldsymbol{u} - \nabla \cdot 2\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), \boldsymbol{v}_h)_h \\ &+ \sum_K \langle 2\mu \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), [\boldsymbol{v}_h] \rangle_{\partial K} - \sum_K \langle p, [\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_h] \rangle_{\partial K} \\ &= (\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{v}_h) + \sum_K \langle 2\mu \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), [\boldsymbol{v}_h] \rangle_{\partial K} - \sum_K \langle p, [\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_h] \rangle_{\partial K} \end{split}$$

and thus the following Galerkin orthogonality relation holds:

(4.1)
$$a(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h, \boldsymbol{v}_h) + j(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h, \boldsymbol{v}_h) = \sum_K \langle 2 \, \mu \, \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), [\boldsymbol{v}_h] \rangle_{\partial K} - \sum_K \langle p, [\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_h] \rangle_{\partial K}.$$

We next define the norm

(4.2)
$$|||\boldsymbol{u}||| := ||\sigma^{1/2}\boldsymbol{u}||^2 + |\mu^{1/2}\boldsymbol{u}|_h^2 + j(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}),$$

where

$$|oldsymbol{v}_h|_h^2 := \sum_K |oldsymbol{v}_h|_{H^1(K)}^2,$$

 $\|\boldsymbol{v}_h\| := \|\boldsymbol{v}_h\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$, and, for use below,

$$\|m{v}_h\|_h := \left(\sum_K \|m{v}_h\|_{L_2(K)}^2
ight)^{1/2}$$

By r_h we denote the Crouzeix-Raviart interpolant,

$$r_h: [H^1(\Omega)]^d \to V_h$$

and note that if $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0$, then r_h takes \boldsymbol{u} into W_h (cf. [4]).

We now wish to estimate the error measured in the triple norm, $|||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h|||$. Set

$$e_h := r_h u - u_h$$

and assume that $\boldsymbol{u} \in [H^2(\Omega)]^d$. By the triangle inequality

$$\|\|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h\|\| \le \|\|\boldsymbol{u} - r_h \boldsymbol{u}_h\|\| + \|\|\boldsymbol{e}_h\|\|.$$

For $|||e_h|||$ we have the following result.

Lemma 2. There holds

(4.3)
$$|||\boldsymbol{e}_{h}||| \leq C \left(|||\boldsymbol{u} - r_{h}\boldsymbol{u}||| + \mu^{1/2}h ||\boldsymbol{u}||_{H^{2}(\Omega)} + h ||p||_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \right)$$

Proof. Define π_0 as the projection onto piecewise constants. By Korn's inequality for piecewise H^1 vector fields,

$$|\mu^{1/2}\boldsymbol{v}_h|_h^2 \leq C(\|\mu^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}_h)\|_h^2 + j(\boldsymbol{v}_h, \boldsymbol{v}_h)),$$

cf. Brenner [1], and the Galerkin orthogonality (4.1) we have

$$\begin{split} c|||\boldsymbol{e}_{h}|||^{2} &\leq ||\sigma^{1/2}\boldsymbol{e}_{h}||^{2} + ||\mu^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{e}_{h})||_{h}^{2} + j(\boldsymbol{e}_{h},\boldsymbol{e}_{h}) \\ &= a(\boldsymbol{e}_{h},\boldsymbol{e}_{h}) \leq |a(r_{h}\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{h},\boldsymbol{e}_{h})| + j(r_{h}\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{h},\boldsymbol{e}_{h}) \\ &+ |\sum_{K} \langle 2\,\mu\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}),[\boldsymbol{e}_{h}]\rangle_{\partial K}| + |\sum_{K} \langle p,[\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_{h}]\rangle_{\partial K}| \\ &\leq |||\boldsymbol{u} - r_{h}\boldsymbol{u}||| \, |||\boldsymbol{e}_{h}||| + |\sum_{K} \langle 2\,\mu\,\boldsymbol{n}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}) - \pi_{0}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u})),[\boldsymbol{e}_{h}]\rangle_{\partial K} \\ &+ |\sum_{K} \langle p - \pi_{0}p,[\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_{h}]\rangle_{\partial K}| \\ &\leq |||\boldsymbol{u} - r_{h}\boldsymbol{u}||| \, |||\boldsymbol{e}_{h}||| \\ &+ \left(\sum_{K} ||2\,\mu^{1/2}h^{1/2}\boldsymbol{n}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}) - \pi_{0}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}))||_{\partial K}\right)^{1/2} \, |||\boldsymbol{e}_{h}||| \\ &+ \left(\sum_{K} ||h^{1/2}(p - \pi_{0}p)||_{\partial K}\right)^{1/2} \, |||\boldsymbol{e}_{h}||| \end{split}$$

and, using the trace inequality

(4.4)
$$\|v\|_{L_2(\partial K)}^2 \le C\left(h_K^{-1}\|v\|_{L_2(K)}^2 + h_K\|v\|_{H^1(K)}^2\right), \quad \forall v \in H^1(K),$$

we find

$$\sum_{K} \|h^{1/2} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}) - \pi_0 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}))\|_{\partial K}^2 \leq \sum_{K} h_K^2 \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{2,K}^2$$

and

$$\sum_{K} \|h^{1/2}(p - \pi_0 p)\|_{\partial K}^2 \le \sum_{K} h_K^2 \|p\|_{1,K}^2$$

which ends the proof.

Lemma 3. For $|||u - r_h u|||$ we have the following approximation result:

(4.5)
$$|||\boldsymbol{u} - r_h \boldsymbol{u}||| \le C \left(\sigma^{1/2} h^2 + \mu^{1/2} h + \gamma_\mu^{1/2} \mu^{1/2} h + \gamma_0 h\right) ||\boldsymbol{u}||_2$$

Proof. This follows from the trace inequality (4.4) and interpolation theory for r_h , see [4].

Combining Lemmas 2 and 3, we have the following *a priori* estimate.

Theorem 1. Assuming that γ_{μ} and γ_{0} are bounded from above and below, there holds

(4.6)
$$|||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h||| \le C \Big((\sigma^{1/2} h^2 + \mu^{1/2} h) ||\boldsymbol{u}||_{H^2(\Omega)} + h ||p||_{H^1(\Omega)} \Big).$$

For the pressure, we have the following estimate.

Theorem 2. There holds

$$\|p - p_h\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \le C \left((\sigma^{1/2} h^2 + \mu^{1/2} h) \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + h \|p\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \right).$$

Proof. We split the error into

$$||p - p_h|| \le ||p - \pi_0 p||_{L_2(\Omega)} + ||\pi_0 p - p_h||_{L_2(\Omega)},$$

where π_0 is the L_2 -projection of p onto Q_h . For the first part we have the standard estimate

$$||p - \pi_0 p|| \le Ch ||p||_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

For the second part, we proceed as follows. By the surjectivity of the divergence operator (see [5]) there exists $\boldsymbol{v}_p \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^d$ such that $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_p = \pi_0 p - p_h$ and $\|\boldsymbol{v}_p\|_{1,\Omega} \leq C \|\pi_0 p - p_h\|$. This gives, using the orthogonality of the L_2 -projection,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_0 p - p_h\|^2 &= (\pi_0 p - p_h, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_p) = (\pi_0 p - p_h, \nabla \cdot r_h \boldsymbol{v}_p)_h = (p - p_h, \nabla \cdot r_h \boldsymbol{v}_p)_h \\ &= a_h (\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h, r_h \boldsymbol{v}_p) + j (\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h, r_h \boldsymbol{v}_p) \\ &+ \sum_K \langle p, [\boldsymbol{n} \cdot r_h \boldsymbol{v}_p] \rangle_{\partial K} - \sum_K \langle 2 \, \mu \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), [r_h \boldsymbol{v}_p] \rangle_{\partial K} \end{aligned}$$

Using the trace inequality we find

$$a_h(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h, r_h \boldsymbol{v}_p) + j(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h, r_h \boldsymbol{v}_p) \leq C |||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h||| ||\boldsymbol{v}_p||_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq C |||\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_h||| ||\pi_0 p - p_h||$$

$$\begin{split} \sum_{K} \langle p, [\boldsymbol{n} \cdot r_{h} \boldsymbol{v}_{p}] \rangle_{\partial K} &= \sum_{K} \langle (p - \pi_{0} p), [\boldsymbol{n} \cdot r_{h} \boldsymbol{v}_{p}] \rangle_{\partial K} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma_{0}^{1/2}} \sum_{K} h_{K}^{1/2} \| p - \pi_{0} p \|_{\partial K} \left(\frac{\gamma_{0}}{h_{K}} \langle [\boldsymbol{n} \cdot r_{h} \boldsymbol{v}_{p}] [\boldsymbol{n} \cdot r_{h} \boldsymbol{v}_{p}] \rangle_{\partial K} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C h \| p \|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \| \pi_{0} p - p_{h} \| \end{split}$$

and

$$\sum_{K} \langle 2 \, \mu \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}), [r_h \boldsymbol{v}_p] \rangle_{\partial K} \leq \sum_{K} \langle 2 \, \mu \, (\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}) - \pi_0 \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u})), [r_h \boldsymbol{v}_p] \rangle_{\partial K}$$
$$\leq C \, h \, \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \, \|\pi_0 p - p_h\|,$$

(where $\pi_0 \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u})$ is understood as the projection onto $[Q_h]^d$). Dividing both sides by $\|\pi_0 p - p_h\|$ concludes the proof.

We end this section with an L_2 -estimate for the velocities. We emphasize that this estimate can only be valid if μ is bounded from below since the necessary *a priori* regularity estimate does not hold otherwise.

Consider the dual continuous problem of seeking φ and r such that

(4.7)
$$\sigma \varphi - 2\mu \nabla \cdot \varepsilon(\varphi) + \nabla r = e \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$\nabla \cdot \varphi = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

where $e := u - u_h$, and assume that we have the regularity estimate

(4.8)
$$\|\varphi\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|r\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le \|e\|$$

Multiply the first line of (4.7) by e and integrate by parts to obtain

$$\|\boldsymbol{e}\|^{2} = a_{h}(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{\varphi}) + j(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{\varphi}) - \sum_{K} \langle 2\,\mu\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}), [\boldsymbol{e}] \rangle_{\partial K} + \sum_{K} \langle r, [\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{e}] \rangle_{\partial K}.$$

Using orthogonality and the zero mean value property of the Crouzeix-Raviart element, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|\boldsymbol{e}\|^{2} &= a_{h}(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{\varphi}-r_{h}\boldsymbol{\varphi}) + j(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{\varphi}-r_{h}\boldsymbol{\varphi}) - \sum_{K} \langle 2\,\mu(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})-\pi_{0}\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})), [\boldsymbol{e}] \rangle_{\partial K} \\ &+ \sum_{K} \langle r-\pi_{0}r, [\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{e}] \rangle_{\partial K} - \sum_{K} \langle 2\,\mu(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})-\pi_{0}\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})), [\boldsymbol{\varphi}-r_{h}\boldsymbol{\varphi}] \rangle_{\partial K} \\ &+ \sum_{K} \langle p-\pi_{0}p, [\boldsymbol{n}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\varphi}-r_{h}\boldsymbol{\varphi})] \rangle_{\partial K} \\ &\leq \|\|\boldsymbol{e}\|\| \|\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}-r_{h}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|\| \\ &+ \left(\sum_{K} h_{K} \|2\mu^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})-\pi_{0}\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\|_{L_{2}(\partial K)}^{2}\right)^{1/2} \|\|\boldsymbol{e}\|\| \\ &+ \left(\sum_{K} h_{K} \|r-\pi_{0}r\|_{L_{2}(\partial K)}\right)^{1/2} \|\|\boldsymbol{e}\|\| \\ &+ \left(\sum_{K} h_{K} \|2\mu^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})-\pi_{0}\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}))\|_{L_{2}(\partial K)}^{2}\right)^{1/2} \|\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}-r_{h}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|\| \\ &+ \left(\sum_{K} h_{K} \|p-\pi_{0}p\|_{L_{2}(\partial K)}\right)^{1/2} \|\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}-r_{h}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|\|. \end{split}$$

Using Lemma 3, the trace inequality and estimates for piecewise contant interpolation, we arrive at

 $\|\boldsymbol{e}\|^{2} \leq Ch \left(\|\|\boldsymbol{e}\|\| + h\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} + h\|p\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \right) \left(\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} + \|r\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \right),$

and thus we have

Theorem 3. Under the regularity assumption (4.8), the L_2 -error in the velocities can be estimated as

(4.9)
$$\|\boldsymbol{e}\| \leq Ch^2 \left(\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|p\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \right).$$

5. Numerical results

5.1. Convergence study for Darcy flow. The first numerical example, taken from [8], is a study of convergence rates for Darcy flow. The domain under consideration is the unit square with a given exact pressure solution $p = -\sin \pi x + 2/\pi$ and velocity field $u = (-\pi \sin 2\pi y \sin^2 \pi x, \pi \sin 2\pi x \sin^2 \pi y)$. We set $\gamma_0 = 1$. In Figure 1, we show the convergence of the method in the L_2 -norm, which yields second order accuracy for the velocities and first order for the pressure. In Figure 2 we show the effect of removing the stabilizing normal jump. As pointed out in [8] there is in fact no convergence at all if $\gamma_0 = 0$.

5.2. Convergence study for Stokes flow. Again, we consider the unit square with exact flow solution given by $u = (20 x y^3, 5x^4 - 5y^4)$ and $p = 60 x^2 y - 20 y^3 + C$. Choosing $\gamma_{\mu} = \gamma_0 = 1$ and imposing zero mean pressure (C = -5), we obtain the optimal convergence shown in Figure 3.



FIGURE 1. Convergence in the Darcy case



FIGURE 2. Approximate solution of the velocities with and without normal jump stabilization

6. Acknowledgements

This paper was written while the second author was a guest of the Bernoulli center at the Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, working within a program for the modeling of cardiovascular flow. The support of the Bernoulli center is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] S. Brenner, Korn's inequalities for piecewise H¹ vector fields, Industrial Mathematics Research Report 2002:05, Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, 2002.
- [2] F. Brezzi and M. Fortin, Mixed and Hybrid Finite Element Methods, Springer Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [3] E. Burman and P. Hansbo, A unified stabilized method for Stokes' and Darcy's equations, Chalmers Finite Element Center Preprint 2002-15, Chalmers University of Technology, 2002.



FIGURE 3. L_2 -norm convergence of the velocity and of the pressure for Stokes.

- [4] M. Crouzeix and P.-A. Raviart, Conforming and nonconforming finite element methods for solving the stationary Stokes equations. *RAIRO Sér. Rouge* 7 (1973) 33–75.
- [5] V. Girault and P.-A. Raviart, Finite element methods for Navier-Stokes equations: Theory and algorithms, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [6] P. Hansbo and M.G. Larson, Discontinuous Galerkin methods for incompressible and nearly incompressible elasticity by Nitsche's method, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg, 191, 1895–1908, 2002.
- [7] P. Hansbo and M.G. Larson, Discontinuous Galerkin and the Crouzeix-Raviart element: Application to elasticity, M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal., 37, 63-72, 2003.
- [8] K.A. Mardal, X.C. Tai, and R. Winther, A robust finite element method for Darcy-Stokes flow, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 40, 1605–1631, 2002.

Chalmers Finite Element Center Preprints

2001 - 01	A simple nonconforming bilinear element for the elasticity problem Peter Hansbo and Mats G. Larson
2001 - 02	The \mathcal{LL}^* finite element method and multigrid for the magnetostatic problem Rickard Bergström, Mats G. Larson, and Klas Samuelsson
2001–03	The Fokker-Planck operator as an asymptotic limit in anisotropic media Mohammad Asadzadeh
2001–04	A posteriori error estimation of functionals in elliptic problems: experiments Mats G. Larson and A. Jonas Niklasson
2001–05	A note on energy conservation for Hamiltonian systems using continuous time finite elements Peter Hansbo
2001–06	Stationary level set method for modelling sharp interfaces in groundwater flow Nahidh Sharif and Nils-Erik Wiberg
2001 - 07	Integration methods for the calculation of the magnetostatic field due to coils Marzia Fontana
2001–08	Adaptive finite element computation of 3D magnetostatic problems in potential formulation Marzia Fontana
2001–09	Multi-adaptive galerkin methods for ODEs I: theory & algorithms Anders Logg
2001 - 10	Multi-adaptive galerkin methods for ODEs II: applications Anders Logg
2001 - 11	Energy norm a posteriori error estimation for discontinuous Galerkin methods Roland Becker, Peter Hansbo, and Mats G. Larson
2001 - 12	Analysis of a family of discontinuous Galerkin methods for elliptic problems: the one dimensional case Mats G. Larson and A. Jonas Niklasson
2001–13	Analysis of a nonsymmetric discontinuous Galerkin method for elliptic prob- lems: stability and energy error estimates Mats G. Larson and A. Jonas Niklasson
2001 - 14	A hybrid method for the wave equation Larisa Beilina, Klas Samuelsson and Krister Åhlander
2001 - 15	A finite element method for domain decomposition with non-matching grids Roland Becker, Peter Hansbo and Rolf Stenberg
2001 - 16	Application of stable FEM-FDTD hybrid to scattering problems Thomas Rylander and Anders Bondeson
2001–17	Eddy current computations using adaptive grids and edge elements Y. Q. Liu, A. Bondeson, R. Bergström, C. Johnson, M. G. Larson, and K. Samuelsson
2001 - 18	Adaptive finite element methods for incompressible fluid flow Johan Hoffman and Claes Johnson
2001–19	Dynamic subgrid modeling for time dependent convection-diffusion-reaction equations with fractal solutions Johan Hoffman

12	ERIK BURMAN AND PETER HANSBO
2001–20	Topics in adaptive computational methods for differential equations Claes Johnson, Johan Hoffman and Anders Logg
2001 - 21	An unfitted finite element method for elliptic interface problems Anita Hansbo and Peter Hansbo
2001 - 22	A P^2 -continuous, P^1 -discontinuous finite element method for the Mindlin- Reissner plate model Peter Hansbo and Mats G. Larson
2002–01	Approximation of time derivatives for parabolic equations in Banach space: constant time steps Yubin Yan
2002–02	Approximation of time derivatives for parabolic equations in Banach space: variable time steps Yubin Yan
2002 - 03	Stability of explicit-implicit hybrid time-stepping schemes for Maxwell's equa- tions
	Thomas Rylander and Anders Bondeson
2002 - 04	A computational study of transition to turbulence in shear flow
2002 05	Johan Hoffman and Claes Johnson Adaptive hybrid EEM/EDM methods for inverse coefficiency methods
2002-05	Larisa Beilina
2002-06	DOLFIN - Dunamic Object oriented Library for FINite element computation
2002 00	Johan Hoffman and Anders Logg
2002 - 07	Explicit time-stepping for stiff ODEs
	Kenneth Eriksson, Claes Johnson and Anders Logg
2002 - 08	Adaptive finite element methods for turbulent flow
	Johan Hoffman
2002 - 09	Adaptive multiscale computational modeling of complex incompressible fluid
	flow
2002 10	Jonan Homman and Claes Jonnson
2002-10	Rickard Bergström
2002 - 11	Discontinuous/continuous least-squares finite element methods for elliptic prob- lems
	Rickard Bergström and Mats G. Larson
2002 - 12	Discontinuous least-squares finite element methods for the Div-Curl problem
	Rickard Bergström and Mats G. Larson
2002 - 13	Object oriented implementation of a general finite element code
	Rickard Bergström
2002 - 14	On adaptive strategies and error control in fracture mechanics
2002 15	Per Heintz and Klas Samuelsson
2002-15	A uniped stabulzed method for Stokes' and Darcy's equations Erik Burman and Peter Hansho
2002-16	A finite element method on composite arids based on Nitsche's method
1001 10	Anita Hansbo, Peter Hansbo and Mats G. Larson
2002 - 17	Edge stabilization for Galerkin approximations of convection-diffusion prob-
	lems
	Erik Burman and Peter Hansbo

2002 - 18	Adaptive strategies and error control for computing material forces in fracture mechanics
	Per Heintz, Fredrik Larsson, Peter Hansbo and Kenneth Runesson
2002 - 19	A variable diffusion method for mesh smoothing
	J. Hermansson and P. Hansbo
2003 - 01	A hybrid method for elastic waves
	L.Beilina
2003–02	Application of the local nonobtuse tetrahedral refinement techniques near Fichera-like corners
0000 00	L.Beilina, S.Korotov and M. Krizek
2003–03	Nitsche's method for coupling non-matching meshes in fluid-structure vibration problems
	Peter Hansbo and Joakim Hermansson
2003–04	Crouzeix-Raviart and Raviart-Thomas elements for acoustic fluid-structure interaction
	Joakim Hermansson
2003–05	Smoothing properties and approximation of time derivatives in multistep back- ward difference methods for linear parabolic equations Yubin Yan
2003–06	Postprocessing the finite element method for semilinear parabolic problems Yubin Yan
2003–07	The finite element method for a linear stochastic parabolic partial differential equation driven by additive noise Yubin Yan
2003–08	A finite element method for a nonlinear stochastic parabolic equation Yubin Yan
2003–09	A finite element method for the simulation of strong and weak discontinuities in elasticity Anita Hansbo and Peter Hansbo
2003 - 10	Generalized Green's functions and the effective domain of influence Donald Estep, Michael Holst, and Mats G. Larson
2003 - 11	Adaptive finite element/difference method for inverse elastic scattering waves L.Beilina
2003–12	A Lagrange multiplier method for the finite element solution of elliptic domain decomposition problems using non-matching meshes Peter Hansbo, Carlo Lovadina, Ilaria Perugia, and Giancarlo Sangalli
2003 - 13	A reduced P^1 -discontinuous Galerkin method
	R. Becker, E. Burman, P. Hansbo, and M. G. Larson
2003–14	Nitsche's method combined with space-time finite elements for ALE fluid- structure interaction problems
	Peter Hansbo, Joakim Hermansson, and Thomas Svedberg
2003 - 15	Stabilized Crouzeix–Raviart element for the Darcy-Stokes problem Erik Burman and Peter Hansbo

These preprints can be obtained from

www.phi.chalmers.se/preprints